

Minutes

Village Board of Trustees

September 30, 2009

A Special Meeting was held by the Village Board of Trustees on the above date at 2:00 p.m. The purpose of the meeting was to begin the SEQR Review relative to the Schlumberger Project.

Present were:

Village Board and Staff:

Mayor Donald Zeigler
Trustee Ronald Swartz
Trustee Walter Herbst
Trustee George Koliwasky
Trustee Suzanne Peters

Village Attorney John Groff
Manager's Asst. Rachel Baer

Others:

Ken Andrus, Lynhurst Ave.
Deloris & Richard Cass, Redwing Lane
Ken Howland, Briarcliff Dr.
Bonnie Chollet, Latta Brook Rd.
George Miner, STEG
Paul Able, Schlumberger
Julie Spicer, Bowman Hill
Scott Bullock, Big Flats
Frank Patterson, Van Etten
Jim & Bonnie Cummings, Erin
Mike Swasta, Watkins Rd.
Scott Jelleberg, Schlumberger

Ron Sherman, MRB Group
Bette Ek, Propect Hill Rd.
Louise McIntosh, Renwick Lane
Susan Multer, Watkins Rd.
Kevin Keeley, Chamber of Commerce
Brian Busch, S. Main St.
Jean Quinn, Gardner Rd.
Gary Burlew, Ridge Rd.
Howard Miller, Sunset Dr.
John Vargeson, Lowman
Robert Huckle, Barton, NY
Jim Benedict, Old Ithaca Rd.

Atty. Groff read Part 2 of the SEQR form. The Board read and considered the examples for each question first, then decided on the answer for the question. Any discussion is noted below.

Impact on Land

1. Construction that will continue for more than 1 year - potentially large impact - several phases.
Answer for Question #1 - Yes.

2. No.

Impact on Water

3. Construction in freshwater or tidal wetland.

Ron Sherman - it should be designated as an impact.

Steve Boisvert, Bergmann - not impacting wetland itself. Currently has buffer. We will be in buffer, but not wetland. Existing storm sewer pipe - running a parallel pipe in that area.

Ron Sherman - extending storm sewer system. So is a potential impact. Handled through DEC

Potential large impact that could be mitigated

Answer for Question #3 - Yes.

4. No.

5. Discussion on waste treatment and storage. Construction or operation causing contamination.
Ron Sherman - during construction there is a potential. But can be mitigated.

Answer for Question #5 - Yes.

6. Proposed action substantial erosion - discussion.
Yes - potential during construction.

Answer for Question #6 - Yes.

Impact on Air

7. Yes

8. Plants and Animals, pesticide application - discussion. If they spray on their grounds small to moderate

Answer to Question #8 - Yes.

9. Grasshopper sparrow

Answer for Question #9 - Yes.

10. No.

11. No.

12. Register of historic places- small to moderate impact.
Trustee Herbst - letter from Historic Preservation that says adjustment they made is acceptable to

state.

Answer to Question #12 - Yes.

13. No.

14. Critical env. Areas
Answer No.

15. Transportation - major traffic problems?

Not according to traffic study.

Trustee Herbst - received letter from Andy Avery from the County. Will alter movement patterns - small to moderate.

Answer to Question #15 - Yes.

16. Energy
Answer is No.

17. Noise and Odor Impact
Answer is No.

18. Yes on explosion - can be mitigated

Answer to 18 is Yes.

19. Precedent for future business...discussion.
Small to moderate.

Answer to 19 is Yes.

20. Possible controversy
Answer is yes.

Atty. Groff - that completes the questions in Part 2 of the SEQR from.
Trustee Herbst - are there any other issues?

Ron Sherman - no.

Trustee Herbst - then I move we adjourn until Tuesday, October 6th, at 5:30 p.m. to work on the draft

of Part 3. That is more of a narrative component. Seconded by Trustee Peters.
All ayes.

Atty. Groff - individually you should continue to review these answers today in preparation for the narrative element for Tuesday.

As there was nothing further to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 2:40 p.m.

/rmb